

Professor Frank Huang Graduate Student Awards Committee Chair Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering NM Tech March 8, 2016

Dear Frank:

It is with great pleasure that we nominate **Dr. Amy Jordan** for the 2016 Langmuir Award. She completed her PhD degree this last fall (2015). We wish to nominate Amy for her outstanding paper published in 2015 entitled, "Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks" in (Jordan et al., 2015a). The paper was published in Scientific Reports, a Nature Group journal with an impact factor of 5.6. Since being published online on December 17 it has already been viewed 560 times. It assesses the feasibility of detecting radiogenic tracers at the land surface following a subsurface nuclear detonation. What is unique about this study is that Jordan and her colleagues at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) generated a subsurface fracture network using a geomechanical rock damage model. A realistic fracture network was generated using this "hydromodel" in response to a 1 kilo-ton nuclear explosion detonated at a depths of 125, 250, and 390 meters. Two different rock types, each with distinctive geomechanical properties were considered (granite and welded tuff). Jordan and her LANL team simulated post-detonation ¹³³Xe gas (half life, 5.2 days) migration through the fracture network induced by barometric fluctuations. The study convincingly showed that fissionogenic gas tracers could be detected at the surface within a few weeks to months after detonation. This work has broad societal relevance for compliance verification of countries that have signed the nuclear test ban treaty.

Mark Person served as Amy's academic advisor here at NM Tech as she pursued her dissertation research. Fred Phillips and John Wilson were on her dissertation committee along with Dr. Charles Carrigan of Lawrence Livermore Labs and Dr. Phillip Stauffer of LANL. Both Carrigan and Stauffer have submitted letters of support that we have attached to this nomination. Carrigan is the world's leading authority on gas transport associated with nuclear-weapons detonations. He has published extensively on this topic in high-profile journals (e.g. Carrigan et al. 1996). Amy was supported almost exclusively by LANL over the time period of her doctoral program. We have found Amy to be remarkable in her positive attitude, scientific creativity, service, and academic independence. With a combined ~100 years of experience as professors, including appointments at MIT, University of Minnesota, and Indiana University in addition to New Mexico Tech, we rank Amy as one of the top students we have ever advised.

Since starting her doctoral research at NM Tech in 2011, Jordan published three additional first-author papers (Jordan et al. 2014; 2015b, 2015c) and two technical reports. She wrote two DOE proposals at LANL totaling \$885,000, both of which were funded. She received a 3.98 GPA here at NM Tech. Her mastery of subsurface flow and transport theory was so complete that our faculty asked her to teach HYD 508 (Subsurface Flow and Transport) last year after John Wilson retired. This was above and beyond the call of duty for Amy, given her full time job at LANL and considering the long commute from Santa Fe. She has also served the greater New Mexico community staring in one of the 'New Mexico True Stories'' films (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNSDCMM8bsc); she is the female rock climber wearing the red shirt.

Amy Jordan is an absolutely outstanding graduate of New Mexico Tech. Her paper is a breakthrough technical achievement, it is of great societal relevance, and it has been published in an extremely high-profile journal. We can think of no student more deserving of the Langmuir Award.

Sincerely,

mark Person

Mark Person Professor of Hydrogeology

AM Philli

Fred M. Phillips Emeritus Professor of Hydrology

toh Lilling

John Wilson Emeritus Professor of Hydrology

cc Lorie Liebrock, Glenn Spinelli, Penny Boston

References

Carrigan, C. R., Heinle, R. A., Hudson, G. B., Nitao, J. J. & Zucca, J. J. (1996) Trace gas emissions on geological faults as indicators of underground nuclear testing. Nature, 382, 528–531

Jordan, A.B. (2016) Review of 'Gas Generation and Migration in Deep Geological Radioactive Waste Repositories,' Edited by R.P. Shaw. Geofluids, accepted.

Jordan, A.B.; Stauffer, P.H.; Knight, E.E.; Rougier, E.; & Anderson, D.N. (2015a), Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks. Scientific Reports, 5(18383). Journal Impact Factor (IF) at time of publication: 5.6.

Jordan, A.B., Boukhalfa, H., Caporuscio, F.A., Robinson, B.A., & Stauffer, P.H. (2015b), Hydrous Mineral Dehydration around Heat-Generating Nuclear Waste in Bedded Salt Formations. Environmental Science & Technology, 49(11). IF: 5.5.

Jordan, A.B., Stauffer, P.H., Harp, D., Carey, J.W., & Pawar, R.J. (2015c), A response surface model to predict CO2 and brine leakage along cemented wellbores. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 33, 27-39. IF: 3.8.

Jordan, A.B., Stauffer, P.H., Zyvoloski, G.A., Person, M.A., MacCarthy, J.K., & Anderson, D.N. (2014), Uncertainty in Prediction of Radionuclide Gas Migration from Underground Nuclear Explosions. Vadose Zone Journal, 13(10) (Journal issue cover article). IF: 2.4.

Middleton, R.S., G.N. Keating, P.H. Stauffer, A.B. Jordan, H. Viswanathan, Q. Kang, J.W. Carey, M. Mulkey, J.E. Sullivan, S. Chu, R. Esposito, and T. Meckel (2012), The Cross-scale Science of CO2 Capture and Storage: From Pore Scale to Regional Scale, Energy & Environmental Science, 5, 7328–7345. IF: 11.7.



Physical and Life Sciences Directorate

March 6, 2016

Langmuir Award Committee New Mexico Institute of Mining & Technology Socorro, NM 87801

Dear Langmuir Award Committee Members:

I am pleased to support Dr. Amy Jordan's nomination for the Langmuir Award given by New Mexico Tech. I know Amy as a member of her PhD committee and as a colleague who works in similar research areas.

It seems highly appropriate that Amy be considered for the Langmuir Award because her paper "Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks", recently published in Nature – Scientific Reports [*Sci. Rep.* **5**, 18383; doi: 10.1038/srep18383 (2015)], is characterized by some of the excellent qualities for which Dr. Langmuir is remembered - particularly his propensity for doing pioneering work in a variety of scientific fields.

Amy's pioneering paper describes the results of combining multiple research areas into one coherent computational model for tracking the migration of noble gases from an underground nuclear detonation cavity to their arrival at the surface. This is a very difficult problem that the authors have undertaken. Subsurface gas migration following an underground nuclear explosion is necessarily complex, especially when there is significant uncertainty associated with the nature of the fracture regime connecting the detonation cavity to the surface and also the character and amplitude of gas transport mechanisms. Some of the work is based on previous experimental and theoretical studies of gas transport such as those carried out at Sandia [see her paper references 9, 14], Lawrence Livermore [6, 10, 20, 30] and more recently at Pacific Northwest [11, 17, 21]. What is new here is use of solid mechanical simulations of an underground nuclear explosion to yield a "picture" of the fracture network representing pathways for gas migration from the detonation cavity. Additionally, Amy's paper considers the effects of parametric uncertainty as well as the variability associated with atmospheric pressure histories characteristic of different locations on Earth. So, in one published paper we find a coherent and novel model containing elements of the fracture mechanics of explosions, hydrogeology, meteorology and the increasingly important study of parametric uncertainty quantification as embodied in the PSUADE code, which Amy and her colleagues employed in their study. They ultimately conclude that their results will be useful in making comparative estimates of gas arrivals at the surface, such as estimating the effect on arrival times of different detonation depths or rock types comprising the containment regime.

In summary, I hope you will honor Dr. Amy Jordan with the Langmuir Award given her research ability and leadership in authoring an excellent and highly pertinent paper in the high impact Nature – Scientific Reports journal, a paper that embodies facets of Dr. Langmuir's vigorous and creative approach to doing science.

Sincerely,

Olung

Charles R. Carrigan, Ph.D. Experimental & Applied Physics Group





Professor Frank Huang Graduate Student Awards Committee Chair Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering NM Tech March 3, 2016

Dear Frank:

I am writing in support of **Dr. Amy Jordan** for the 2016 Langmuir Award. I wish to second the nomination of Amy for her paper published in 2015 entitled, *"Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks"* (Jordan et al., 2015a). For this publication, Amy worked with a team of Los Alamos scientists under my guidance to create a novel approach for calculating possible gas phase emissions from underground nuclear testing. The work flow begins with a subsurface fracture network that was simulated using a hydrodynamic rock damage code. Amy next took the results of the rock damage code and translated spatially variable damage to a permeability map on a 2-D radial numerical mesh. Finally, she performed calculations of gas transport including fractionation into an immobile water phase and radioactive decay of Xe133. The model allowed exploration of different rock types, depths of burial, and subsurface properties. Amy generated new visualizations that allow a wealth of information to be shown in a single figure. These results have helped to launched a new program at LANL that aims to guide predictions of gas release from covert nuclear testing around the world.

During her Ph.D., I served as Amy's research advisor at LANL, working with her on a daily basis. Amy became a highly productive member of our team almost immediately after joining our group, contributing strongly to numerical modeling efforts on multiple projects. She has the ability to adapt and pick up new tools and learn new languages and models very quickly. Her projects involve issues of great importance to the laboratory's missions, including nuclear nonproliferation, environmental safety, and energy security. Her work is now a trusted component of numerical modeling predictions that have been delivered to various customers, including recent fast turnaround simulations in support of the WIPP mission (for which she received a LAAP award). Some of her work on modeling radionuclide gas migration from clandestine underground nuclear tests has been called "game changing" by the agency representatives funding the work.

It is Amy's strong technical skills that have allowed her to make important contributions to all of the projects she has worked on (CO2 sequestration, gas migration from underground nuclear explosions, and modeling high-temperature nuclear waste storage in a geologic repository). She is a very competent programmer and has never been afraid to dive into the code to debug a problem or investigate an unusual result. She demonstrates excellent critical thinking skills on a regular basis and solves problems as they arise. While she came to us already demonstrating her quick learning capability that we could use right away, as she has progressed through her graduate program we have seen that she has also developed a deep knowledge base about the fundamental science that positions her to be an excellent scientist and to make a strong impact in her future career.

Along with her scientific and technical capabilities, Amy also has excellent written and oral communication skills, as evidenced by her solid publication record (see references below).

Sincerely,

Dr. Philip H. Stauffer Senior Hydrogeologist Computational Earth Sciences Los Alamos National Laboratory 505 665 4638

References

Jordan, A.B. (2016) Review of 'Gas Generation and Migration in Deep Geological Radioactive Waste Repositories,' Edited by R.P. Shaw. Geofluids, accepted.

Jordan, A.B.; Stauffer, P.H.; Knight, E.E.; Rougier, E.; & Anderson, D.N. (2015a), Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks. Scientific Reports, 5(18383). Journal Impact Factor (IF) at time of publication: 5.6.

Jordan, A.B., Boukhalfa, H., Caporuscio, F.A., Robinson, B.A., & Stauffer, P.H. (2015b), Hydrous Mineral Dehydration around Heat-Generating Nuclear Waste in Bedded Salt Formations. Environmental Science & Technology, 49(11). IF: 5.5. Jordan, A.B., Stauffer, P.H., Harp, D., Carey, J.W., & Pawar, R.J. (2015c), A response surface model to predict CO2 and brine leakage along cemented wellbores. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 33, 27-39. IF: 3.8.

Jordan, A.B., Stauffer, P.H., Zyvoloski, G.A., Person, M.A., MacCarthy, J.K., & Anderson, D.N. (2014), Uncertainty in Prediction of Radionuclide Gas Migration from Underground Nuclear Explosions. Vadose Zone Journal, 13(10) (Journal issue cover article). IF: 2.4.

Middleton, R.S., G.N. Keating, P.H. Stauffer, **A.B. Jordan**, H. Viswanathan, Q. Kang, J.W. Carey, M. Mulkey, J.E. Sullivan, S. Chu, R. Esposito, and T. Meckel (2012), The Cross-scale Science of CO2 Capture and Storage: From Pore Scale to Regional Scale, Energy & Environmental Science, 5, 7328–7345. IF: 11.7.

LANL Reports:

- 2015 A.B. Jordan, H. Boukhalfa, F.A. Caporuscio, Stauffer, P.H., Brine Transport Experiments in Granular Salt, August 31, 2015, US DOE-NE, Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Level 4 Milestone, M4FT-15LA0819013, Los Alamos National Laboratory Document LA-UR-15-26804.
- 2015 A.B. Jordan, G.A. Zyvoloski, D.J. Weaver, S. Otto, Stauffer, P.H., Coupled Thermal-Hydrologic-Chemical Model for In-Drift Disposal Test, September 25, 2015, US DOE-NE, Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Level 4 Milestone, M4FT-15LA0819015, Los Alamos National Laboratory Document LA-UR-15-27442.
- 2015 Stauffer, P.H., A.B. Jordan, D.J. Weaver, F.A. Caporuscio, J.A. Tencate, H. Boukhalfa, B.A. Robinson (LANL), D.C. Sassani, K.L. Kuhlman, E.L. Hardin, S.D. Sevougian, R.J. MacKinnon (SNL), Y. Wu, T.A. Daley, B.M. Freifield, P.J. Cook, J Rutqvuist, and J.T. Birkholzer (LBNL). Test Proposal Document for Phased Field Testing in Salt, April 30, 2015, US DOE-NE, Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Level 2 Milestone M2FT-15LA08119016, FCRD-UFD-2015-000077, Los Alamos National Laboratory Document LA-UR-15-23154.
- 2013 Stauffer, P.H., D.R. Harp, A.B. Jordan, Z. Lu, S. Kelkar, Q. Kang, J. Ten Cate, H. Boukhalfa, Y. Labyed, P.W. Reimus, F.A. Caporuscio, T.A. Miller, B.A. Robinson, Coupled model for heat and water transport in a high level waste repository in salt, September 30, 2013 DOE Level 2 Milestone FCRD-UFD- 2013-000206 Los Alamos National Laboratory Document LA-UR 13-27584
- 2012 Stauffer, P.H., D.G. Levitt, T.A. Miller, **A.B Jordan**, S.P. Chu, Z.V. Dash, Groundwater Pathway Model for the Los Alamos National Laboratory, Technical Area t1, Material Disposal Area T, LA-UR-Not-Yet-Released, Submitted to US DOE.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 27 August 2015 Accepted: 17 November 2015 Published: 17 December 2015

OPEN Radionuclide Gas Transport through **Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks**

Amy B. Jordan⁺, Philip H. Stauffer, Earl E. Knight, Esteban Rougier & Dale N. Anderson

Underground nuclear weapon testing produces radionuclide gases which may seep to the surface. Barometric pumping of gas through explosion-fractured rock is investigated using a new sequentiallycoupled hydrodynamic rock damage/gas transport model. Fracture networks are produced for two rock types (granite and tuff) and three depths of burial. The fracture networks are integrated into a flow and transport numerical model driven by surface pressure signals of differing amplitude and variability. There are major differences between predictions using a realistic fracture network and prior results that used a simplified geometry. Matrix porosity and maximum fracture aperture have the greatest impact on gas breakthrough time and window of opportunity for detection, with different effects between granite and tuff simulations highlighting the importance of accurately simulating the fracture network. In particular, maximum fracture aperture has an opposite effect on tuff and granite, due to different damage patterns and their effect on the barometric pumping process. From stochastic simulations using randomly generated hydrogeologic parameters, normalized detection curves are presented to show differences in optimal sampling time for granite and tuff simulations. Seasonal and location-based effects on breakthrough, which occur due to differences in barometric forcing, are stronger where the barometric signal is highly variable.

Predicting the travel time, window of opportunity for detection, and concentration of radionuclide gases from underground nuclear explosions (UNEs) is of considerable importance to the international community for monitoring for compliance with the Comprehensive Nuclear Test-Ban Treaty, which provides stipulations for sampling to help verify whether suspected explosions by signatory countries are nuclear in nature. This research investigates late-time seepage (weeks to months) of radionuclide gases that migrate under the influence of barometric pumping through explosion-enhanced fracture networks.

One of several gases that may be monitored under the treaty is ¹³³Xe, which has a half-life of 5.2 days and is produced in UNEs, as well as naturally¹ and in anthropogenic processes²⁻⁴. The independent yield of ¹³³Xe is approximately 2×10^{13} Bq/kt⁵, released during the explosion along with other gases in the explosion cavity. Other gases of interest for monitoring under the CTBT include different isotopes of xenon (131 mXe, 133 mXe, 135 Xe) and ³⁷Ar, but only ¹³³Xe is analyzed in this paper. As pressures drop after the detonation and return to ambient levels, the cavity may no longer support the overlying rock and a "chimney" zone of rubblized rock may form. Gases will likely homogenize in this region of high permeability and porosity immediately after the explosion, driven by convection from the heat of the explosion⁶. Experience from prior U.S. testing suggests that many UNEs did not vent gases at the surface immediately⁷; drill-back studies show evidence of the limited extent of transport of volatile components in the early times following explosions⁸, providing initial conditions for simulations of late-time seepage.

The driving force for late-time gas seepage through the unsaturated zone is thought to be barometric pumping from atmospheric pressure cycles, a mechanism by which atmospheric pressure variations can draw subsurface vapor-phase contamination upwards. Gases are advected by pressure gradients following Darcy's Law, both between the fracture and matrix material and strongly within fractures. During barometric lows, gases generally migrate towards fractures and upwards; during highs, atmospheric air is pushed into the subsurface. Concurrently, diffusion along concentration gradients spreads the trace gas of interest from contaminated to fresh air. Single-fracture and plane-parallel fracture models have produced good matches to tracer gas data using this conceptual model for gas migration, and have been used to predict UNE gas breakthrough timing and isotopic fractionation^{6,10-12}. Such

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545. [†]Present address: Neptune and Company, 1505 15th St., Los Alamos, NM 87545. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.B.J. (email: ajordan@ neptuneinc.org)



Figure 1. Schematic of the integrated model. The model sequentially couples a hydrodynamic rock damage code to a gas transport simulator via a translation between damage results and hydrogeologic parameters and stochastic sampling for uncertain hydrogeologic parameters.

models are simple to build using numerical or analytical solutions^{13–17}, and are extremely useful for understanding characteristics of barometrically pumped systems, but they neglect the effects of heterogeneous, anisotropic fracturing. Gas transport via barometric pumping through complex 3-D fracture networks has also been examined¹⁸. However, transport through fractures produced by a physics-based hydrodynamic explosion model has not been simulated before.

We present a sequentially-coupled hydrodynamic rock damage and gas transport model (Fig. 1) and investigate the effects of the fracture network on radionuclide gas migration and breakthrough timing and window of opportunity for detection. Simulations are presented of 1 kt UNEs in jointed granite and tuff, at depths of burial of 125, 250, and 390 m. In addition to nuclear explosion monitoring and treaty verification, our coupled model could be applied to other geophysical systems that produce fractures with subsequent flow, such as hydrofracturing, wastewater injection, mine explosions, and damaged rock zones around excavations. The gas transport results are relevant to other applications, such as radon and methane migration, soil vapor extraction for cleanup of contaminated sites, and landfill gas migration.

Results

Rock Damage. Figure 2 shows results from the hydrocode simulations. The damaged area around the explosive charge is caused by material failure in compression. Moving outwards, the amount of compressive damage decreases until a point where tensile cracking begins, and total damage increases. Compressive damage around the charge for tuff is less than for granite, due to the higher saturation (discussed in the Methods section). As depth of burial decreases, a significantly damaged region near the surface is observed, generated by the interaction between the stress wave and the free surface.

Gas Flow and Transport. To test the sensitivity of gas breakthrough to varying individual parameters, simulations were run with matrix permeability (k_m) , maximum fracture aperture $(\delta_{f,max})$, matrix porosity (ϕ_m) , and saturation uniformly spanning a range of possible values (25 runs for each varying parameter to span the range), with the other parameters fixed (Table 1). Additionally, to test the coupled effects of varying parameters, stochastic simulations were performed with all parameters allowed to vary simultaneously. 100 realizations were run for each scenario with parameters generated using Latin hypercube sampling over ranges given in Table 1. Matrix and chimney permeability (k_m, k_c) were sampled from a uniform distribution of log(k). Matrix and chimney porosity (ϕ_m, ϕ_c) , S, and $\delta_{f,max}$ were sampled from uniform distributions. The location of the pressure signal used and the season of detonation for that location (either January or June) are given for each case in Table 1.

Because granite and tuff differ in hydrogeologic properties, different ranges were used for the stochastic simulations (MC1 and MC2 in Table 1); a comparison was also made for the fracture network alone by using the granite rock damage model with tuff-appropriate properties (case MC3), which is presented in the Supplementary Information online. To test the effect of the variability of the barometric pressure signal on gas transport (case MC4), pressure data from Anchorage and Honolulu were used, and simulations were started in January and June to test the effect of the season of detonation.

The impact of parameters on gas arrival (days following detonation) and window of opportunity for detection are shown in Fig. 3. Gas arrival time represents the first concentration above a detection limit of 5×10^{-22} mol/kg air (0.6 mBq/m³)¹⁹. Although many realizations drop below the detection limit during high pressures, the window



Figure 2. Damage results at 125, 250, and 390 m depth of burial. The chimney, which overlays the hydrocode-produced damage for transport calculations, is outlined in white. (a) Granite and (b) tuff.

is computed based on first breakthrough until ¹³³Xe decays permanently below the detection threshold. Full breakthrough curve plots for the sensitivity tests are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 online.

Each panel of Fig. 3 shows the effect of a single parameter, varying uniformly across the x-axis range, with all other parameters held fixed. For both rock types, saturation and matrix permeability generate little difference in gas breakthrough, while porosity and maximum fracture aperture are significant. For example, for porosity (Fig. 3c), for both tuff and granite, arrival time gets larger (gas breakthrough is more delayed) as porosity increases from 0.01 to 0.4. Concurrently, the window of opportunity shrinks until gas arrival time is greater than about 90 days, at which point the gases do not arrive at all because concentrations have dropped below detectable limits due to radioactive decay. At this point, the window of opportunity becomes zero.

The results differ from previous studies that have used 2-D planar single-fracture models to analyze gas breakthrough. In particular, Sun and Carrigan⁶ and Jordan *et al.*¹² found that increased fracture apertures cause faster arrival and longer detection windows. With the fracture network model, the results are more complicated (Fig. 3d): for granite, increased fracture aperture leads to slower arrival, lower concentrations, and significantly decreased window of opportunity for detection. For tuff, increasing maximum fracture aperture shortens arrival time, but the window of opportunity first narrows (despite the earlier arrival time) until a broad minimum is reached (1.75–2 mm) then increases.

The counterintuitive results for increasing fracture aperture can be explained by two factors that apply for both rock types: increased lateral migration of ¹³³Xe, which tends to decrease near-surface fluxes; and increased variability of surface concentration as a result of barometric fluctuations. Figure 4 shows ¹³³Xe concentrations and breakthrough curves following low and high barometric pressure for granite and tuff for the smallest and largest fracture aperture cases in the sensitivity study. Increased lateral migration (Fig. 4a–d) and concentration variability (Fig. 4e) with increasing fracture aperture are evident. The key difference between rock types is greater damage near the surface for tuff (Fig. 2, 250 m), which leads to deeper access to contaminated air for a given barometric low pressure, as well as deeper propagation of the fresh air front during barometric highs. The effect of deeper breathing for tuff with increased $\delta_{f,max}$ overwhelms the lateral spreading effect, leading to the previously found positive correlation between fracture aperture and ¹³³Xe breakthrough time. The differences in tensile damage (cracking) shown in Fig. 2 also affect the gas transport results, where granite shows a more homogeneous, diffusive appearance while for tuff, gas migration preferentially follows the strongly damaged radial fractures. These results underscore the importance of the layout of the fracture network in modeling gas migration.

Figure 5 summarizes the results of the stochastic simulations with daily-normalized detection probability curves, $\Xi(t)$. These are one metric for optimizing sampling for gases based on the highest concentrations at the ground surface, with every realization treated as equally probable. The plots in Fig. 5 are to be interpreted as presenting information about the relative timing of concentration maxima for all realizations, independent of detection limit or absolute concentration. As such, these are not breakthrough curves. To produce the $\Xi(t)$ detection

Case	Rock	Pressure Forcing	Depth (m)	Matrix ϕ_m	S	Matrix k_m (m ²)	$\delta_{f,max}$ (mm)	Chimney ϕ_c	Chimney k _c (m ²)
Sens.	Tuff and Granite	Denver, Jan.	250	$0.01-0.4 \\ 0.36^{*}$	0.01–0.9 0.07	$\begin{array}{c} 10^{-20} 10^{-13} \\ 1.5 \times 10^{-14} \end{array}$	0.8–3 0.9	0.4	3.7×10^{-12}
MC1	Granite	Denver, Jan.	125, 250, 390	0.001-0.01	0.01-0.5	$10^{-19} - 10^{-14}$	0.8-3	0.4-0.5	$10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$
MC2	Tuff	Denver, Jan.	125, 250, 390	0.05-0.4	0.5-0.9	$10^{-18} - 10^{-13}$	0.8-3	0.4-0.5	$10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$
MC3	Granite	Denver, Jan. Anchorage,	250	0.05-0.4	0.5-0.9	$10^{-18} - 10^{-13}$	0.8-3	0.4-0.5	$10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$
MC4	Tuff	Honolulu;Jan., June	250	0.05-0.4	0.5-0.9	$10^{-18} - 10^{-13}$	0.8-3	0.4-0.5	$10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$

 Table 1. Ranges sampled for the sensitivity and stochastic simulations. 'Base case parameters for the sensitivity study ranges (Sens.) are given in italics.





probability curves, the concentrations at all output nodes along the top surface within each day are summed, weighted by the associated cell surface area and timestep length (Δt_s) in hours:

$$C_d(t_i) = \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{A} \sum_{t_s}^{T_s} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Delta t_s A_n C_n(t_s),$$
(1)

Where C_d is the daily sum of concentration on day t_i , t_s is the timestep and T_s is the number of timesteps in the output history file within one day; n is the node along the top boundary, and N is the number of output nodes; A_n is the surface area of the cell; A is the total surface area of the domain; and $C_n(t_s)$ is the concentration of that node at that timestep. This daily sum is then scaled for the whole time series by the maximum summed C_d for the realization r:

$$\xi_r(t) = C_d(t) / \max(C_d(t)) \tag{2}$$

where each $\xi_r(t)$ is the scaled value of the daily concentration sum for day *t*, realization *r*. The time series $\xi_r(t)$ for each realization are summed to produce the daily total across all realizations:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \xi_r(t).$$
 (3)

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is re-scaled to a maximum of 1 to produce the normalized decision curve:

8



Figure 4. Comparison between granite and tuff at the minimum (0.8 mm) and maximum (3 mm) fracture aperture maximum ($\delta_{f,max}$). (a–d) Concentration plots for granite and tuff with varying $\delta_{f,max}$, shortly after a barometric high pressure period (15 days) and during a barometric low (20 days). (e) Breakthrough curves at the centerline surface node for granite and tuff with $\delta_{f,max}$ of 0.8 and 3 mm and barometric pressure for the first 50 days.

$$\Xi(t) = \xi(t) / \max(\xi(t)). \tag{4}$$

The scaling within each realization ensures that $\Xi(t)$ is not dominated by high-mass simulations. Breakthrough curves for all stochastic simulations of ¹³³Xe are given in the Supplementary Information (Figs S2–4).

Shallow explosions break through the fastest. The normalized curves are dominated by the oscillating barometric pressure (falling barometric pressure produces concentration increases, and vice versa); for a future event with unknown barometric pressure signal, the curve should be interpreted as providing general peak timing information, while actual sampling should occur based on a falling barometer²⁰.

For granite, there is little difference between the 250 and 390 m best-guess sampling window, although earlier barometric pressure lows (20–30 days) have higher concentrations for the shallower case than the deeper case. For tuff, the 250 and 390 m cases are more spread out and dominated by barometric pressure. For both granite and tuff, the 125 m case has high probability peaks within 5–12 days. For granite, 100% of realizations produce gas break-through above the detection limit; for tuff, there is 100% breakthrough at 125 m, 98% at 250 m, and 21% at 390 m.

For a January detonation, cases with greater pressure variance have an earlier normalized concentration maximum. The Honolulu case has a maximum likelihood of detectability after Denver's (72 versus 68 days, respectively), while the maximum for Anchorage in January falls at ~37 days. For Anchorage in June, after the more barometrically quiescent summer, the maximum falls at ~89 days. For Honolulu, 69–72% of simulations have



Figure 5. Normalized detection probability plots from stochastic simulations. (a) Barometric pressure for Denver, January; normalized detection probability, $\Xi(t)$, for granite and tuff at all depths. (b) Barometric pressure for Anchorage and Honolulu, January; $\Xi(t)$ for tuff (250 m) at all locations. (c) Barometric pressure for January and June detonations; $\Xi(t)$ for tuff (250 m) at two locations and seasons.

.....

breakthrough (depending on season), while for Anchorage it is 98–99%. For Anchorage, the season of detonation makes a considerable difference in the timing of maximum normalized breakthrough (Fig. 5c). For Honolulu, where the barometric pressure signal is more consistent throughout the year, the season of detonation makes little difference in ¹³³Xe breakthrough, although the timing of the maximum of the normalized detection curve, $\Xi(t)$, is dependent on the specific timing of storms throughout the year.

For the cases shown in Figs 5 and 6 shows the percent of simulations with detectable ¹³³Xe (based on a detection limit of 5×10^{-22} mol/kg air or 0.6 mBq/m³) per day. For these plots, ¹³³Xe is considered potentially detectable between first breakthrough date and final drop below the detection limit, while actual concentrations may drop below the detection limit after first breakthrough during barometric high pressures (Supplementary Fig. S2–4 online). While the normalized detection probability curves in Fig. 5 represent the optimal time to sample to capture the maximum concentration of gases (with each realization treated as equally probable), these curves indicate more broadly when the stochastic simulations predict the greatest likelihood of detectable concentrations. The shallow



Figure 6. Percent of stochastic simulations with detectable ¹³³Xe by day after the detonation. (a) Different rock types (granite and tuff) and depths of burial, for a January detonation with Denver pressure data. (b) Different locations, for a January detonation, in tuff at 250 m depth of burial. (c) Different locations and seasons, January and June detonations in Anchorage and Honolulu, in tuff at 250 m depth of burial.

tuff and all granite cases have a large time range where all simulations indicate potential detectability based on surface soil concentration. As before, actual sampling times would be optimized during barometric low pressure events.

Model assumptions (e.g., axisymmetric, isothermal) and uncertainties in key conditions (e.g., initial radionuclide gas distribution, chimney height) require further study, but these comparative analyses illustrate key physical processes that impact the travel time of gas seepage from UNEs under barometric pumping with gas diffusion. These models allow sensitivity studies to highly uncertain parameters, discussion of the effects of some of the major mechanisms that drive late-time seepage (i.e., barometric pumping), and evaluation of primary issues in the conceptual model such as whether the fracture network needs to be accurately simulated. Because of the great uncertainties in parameters, conceptual models, and processes, the results cannot be used at this time for accurate predictions of the timing, although they can be used for comparative predictions of timing. The results strongly demonstrate the importance of including the fracture network produced by the explosion in models of gas migration; the impact of the barometric forcing signal on the relative timing of gas arrival; and the effects and sensitivities to varying hydrogeologic parameters. Of these three issues, only the latter has been studied significantly by others^{6,10,21}.

Methods

The extent of rock damage for each type of material and depth of burial is simulated in a 2-D axisymmetric model using the CASH (CAmpbell-SHashkov) hydrocode²² with computational meshes containing ~3.3 million nodes. CASH was selected as the working code to conduct the modeling because it contains all the necessary capabilities for underground explosion fracture analysis. A visco-plastic continuum fracture material model was used²³, which contains a rate-sensitive directional continuum fracture solver, combined with a dilatational friction solver; it introduces a dynamic overstress in high strain rate regimes. The shear and tensile strength material properties are stochastically seeded to represent pre-existing heterogeneities.

The fracture patterns calculated by the hydrocode are those generated by the propagation of the initial shock wave though the rock material. The collapse of the chimney (which usually occurs at a much later time) would affect the fracture patterns located in the neighborhood of the chimney and close to the free surface directly above the explosion. In this analysis it was assumed that these fracture patterns remain unchanged, and the effect of the chimney collapse was taken into account by increasing permeability and porosity in a rubblized chimney zone (Fig. 7). With respect to the persistence and stability of mid- to far- field fracturing, there will be a settling period where the apertures of some of the fractures may diminish and some may even close down. These are phenomena

Fresh air boundary Time-varying barometric pressure boundary



Figure 7. Simulation boundaries and initial gas distribution.

occurring over longer periods of time that could be incorporated/quantified when validating the mapping between damage and permeability, which is the subject of future work.

The tuff model contains a generic Mie-Gruneisen equation of state to describe the volumetric behavior of the material. Because the model was validated against a test explosion that took place in a saturated layer, the rock damage model for tuff assumes a completely saturated condition, although the gas flow and transport model allows saturation to vary. For unsaturated granite, an equation of state was developed to simulate pore crushing phenomena in geologic material.

The hydrocode has been validated for each of the rock types using field seismic velocity data. For wet tuff, the validation comes from the Non-Proliferation Experiment, a 1 kt chemical explosion at Rainier Mesa (Nevada National Security Site) in 1993. For granite the validation is performed by comparison with waveforms from the Source Physics Experiment. The model has not been rigorously tested for sensitivity to uncertain parameters (e.g., rock density, Young's modulus, etc.).

The Finite Element Heat and Mass transfer code (FEHM) is used to simulate gas migration through the explosion-generated fracture networks in the unsaturated zone. FEHM is freely available (https://fehm.lanl.gov) and has been used for many applications, including nuclear waste disposal, contaminant transport, geothermal energy, and more^{24–26}. Solutions to the governing equations of energy, mass, and momentum conservation are approximated in FEHM using the control volume finite element method with a Newton-Raphson iterative solver. It is assumed that Darcy's Law is valid for all phases. Transport of solutes is governed by the advection-dispersion equation²⁷. FEHM is regularly benchmarked against analytical solutions for flow and transport processes following all code modifications.

The 2-D radial simulation layout and boundary conditions for the FEHM flow and transport model are shown in Fig. 7. Radial symmetry allows 3-D volumes to be simulated while reducing computational burden. 2-D radial symmetry further means that fracture patterns are radially symmetric, a decent approximation of 3-D detonation fracturing. The boundaries are a no-flow bottom (e.g., a water table or low-permeability unit), fixed air-static pressure at the outer lateral boundary, and barometric pressure at the surface. The upper surface is a fresh air boundary for the transport calculations and a transient barometric pressure boundary with 2 hour timesteps (this limits the maximum timestep in the flow calculation to 2 hours, although generally the tracer timesteps are shorter, with initial tracer timestep of 0.24 hr upon each flow timestep). Water is present but immobile in the matrix, with saturation fixed to the values presented in Table 1 depending on the scenario.

The barometric data were selected from Denver, CO; Anchorage, AK; and Honolulu, HI (2013; available at http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/land-based-station-data/land-based-datasets/ quality-controlled-local-climatological-data-qclcd). These locations were selected because their barometric data represent a range of variability, from quiescent (Honolulu) to large-amplitude variability (Anchorage). The simulations are run with barometric pumping for 30 days prior to the detonation to initialize subsurface pressure. The simulations are isothermal as only late-time gas seepage is considered. Away from the cavity and chimney zones, gas equilibrates quickly to the rock temperature due to low total heat capacity²⁸. This would underestimate the transport of radionuclides due to early-time, near-field convection and heat-pipe effects⁶, but our focus is on the effect of fracture networks on transport by barometric pumping alone. The radionuclide gas is initially homogeneously mixed in the chimney zone, a reasonable assumption considering convection and gas displacement from chimney collapse at early times²⁹. The quantity of ¹³³Xe initially emplaced in the chimney zone is based on the independent yield of a 1 kt UNE³⁰. Because these simulations ignore production of ¹³³Xe from parent radionuclides – which produces several orders of magnitude more ¹³³Xe than the independent yield⁵—the actual concentrations are underreported here. The conclusions presented above regarding the effects of the fracture network on gas transport are unaffected, however.

The rock damage models end well before chimney collapse, while the transport models begin after ground motion has ceased. Therefore, we make assumptions about chimney height based on cavity radius. We use a

chimney height of 84 m for all simulations, which is not expected to be identical for all rock types and depths of burial. The "rubblization" factor of the chimney is an uncertain parameter in our simulations, but it is assumed that the chimney is made up of large pieces of rock and that permeability and porosity are enhanced.

The fractured material and surrounding matrix are modeled as a dual permeability medium³¹. In a dual permeability model, every node is composed of two overlapping continuua, i.e., fracture and matrix material. Flow and transport are accounted for between matrix-fracture, fracture-fracture, and matrix-matrix material. The use of a dual permeability model for these simulations is key because it would be difficult to model fractures individually with enough resolution to cover the fracture-scale processes (sub-mm) across the spatial scale (250 to 750 m) of these simulations, and because dual-porosity models do not handle matrix-matrix transport³¹. In FEHM the user may specify particular nodes as dual continuum, while the rest of the domain behaves as single or equivalent continuum material.

The input parameters to the dual permeability model are the volume fraction of a cell that is composed of fracture material and the length scale between the centerline of the fracture and the center of the matrix material (d_{im}) . Between the fracture and matrix material, the fluid transfer term (gas or liquid) is:

$$q_{fm} = -\left(\frac{k_{fm}\rho R}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{A_{fm}}{d_{fm}}\right) (P_f - P_m),\tag{5}$$

Where k_{fm} is the permeability between the two differing media (calculated as the harmonic mean of the fracture and matrix permeabilities); ρ and μ are fluid density and viscosity, respectively; R is a relative permeability term (set to 1 for the gas phase simulations performed here, where water is immobile); A_{fm} is the area separating the fracture and matrix; and P_f and P_m are pressures in the fracture and matrix, respectively. Between like material (matrix-matrix and fracture-fracture), flow and transport are computed normally²⁷ but modified by a decreased connected area that reflects the fraction of cell volume taken up by the other material.

The numerical meshes for each scenario (rock type, depth of burial) are developed using the Los Alamos Grid Toolbox (http://lagrit.lanl.gov), with automatic mesh refinement where the rock is more highly damaged. Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Information (Fig. S5).

The matrix material is partially saturated with variable, immobile water content; fractures are assigned a fixed saturation of 0.01. Pore water acts as a source and sink of gas through Henry's law partitioning:

$$P_{Xe,air} = k_H^{-1} C_{Xe,aq},\tag{6}$$

where $P_{X_{e,air}}$ is the partial pressure of ¹³³Xe in air (bar), k_H is the Henry's law constant for ¹³³Xe (0.0043 mol kg⁻¹ bar⁻¹)³², and $C_{X_{e,aq}}$ is the aqueous concentration of ¹³³Xe. Transport in the vapor phase is much more rapid by advection and diffusion than transport in the aqueous phase. The primary mechanism by which Henry's law partitioning affects the bulk movement of ¹³³Xe is when the mobile trace gas partitions into water in the fresh pores, and a subsequent barometric cycle cleans out the tracer in the pore, and ¹³³Xe partitions back into the vapor from the aqueous phase to achieve equilibrium partial pressure.

The effective gas diffusivity is an important uncertain parameter that the gas migration results are sensitive to⁶. We use the Millington-Quirk approach³³ to calculate the tortuosity (τ) for the porous matrix material as well as the fracture material, although the empirical relation is not validated for fractures:

$$\tau = \left[\left(1 - S \right) \phi \right]^{7/3} / \phi^2, \tag{7}$$

where ϕ is porosity and S is saturation. The effective diffusivity is τD_0 , where the free-air diffusivity (D_0) for ¹³³Xe is $1.24 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^{30}$. The approximation for fractures is appropriate because within the fractures only, advection due to pressure gradients established by barometric cycling moves gases more effectively than diffusion. Furthermore, because the fractures are always set to saturation S = 0.01 and porosity of 1, there is no variation in effective diffusivity within the fractures between realizations (unlike the matrix material).

One of the key difficulties in integrating the rock damage and transport codes is linking the "damage" parameter to fracture and matrix properties. The gas transport results are very sensitive to this translation; however, for these sensitivity and stochastic analyses we use a simple linear mapping between damage and fracture aperture (Supplementary Fig. S6 online). Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Information. While further research into a realistic mapping is necessary to improve the accuracy of predictions of gas breakthrough, these comparative results provide important insights into the necessity and sensitivity to including a physics-based fracture network in gas migration models from UNEs.

References

- 1. Hebel, S. Genesis and equilibrium of natural lithospheric radioxenon and its influence on subsurface noble gas samples for CTBT on-site inspections. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 167, 463–470 (2010).
- 2. Saey, P. R. The influence of radiopharmaceutical isotope production on the global radioxenon background. *J. Environ. Radioact.* 100, 396–406 (2009).
- Biegalski, S. R., Saller, T., Helfand, J. & Biegalski, K. M. Sensitivity study on modeling radioxenon signals from radiopharmaceutical production facilities. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 284, 663–668 (2010).
- 4. Wotawa, G., Becker, A., Kalinowski, M., Saey, P., Tuma, M. & Zähringer, M. Computation and analysis of the global distribution of the radioxenon isotope ¹³³Xe based on emissions from nuclear power plants and radioisotope production facilities and its relevance for the verification of the nuclear-test-ban treaty. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 167, 541–557 (2010).
- Bowyer, T. W. et al. Detection and analysis of xenon isotopes for the comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty international monitoring system. J. Environ. Radioact. 59, 139–151 (2002).
- Sun, Y. & Carrigan, C. R. Modeling noble gas transport and detection for the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty. Pure Appl. Geophys. 171, 735–750 (2014).

- 7. U. S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment. The Containment of Underground Nuclear Explosions. *Rep. OTA-ISC-414*, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC (1989).
- Smith, D. K. A recent drilling program to investigate radionuclide migration at the Nevada Test Site. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 235, 159–167 (1998).
- 9. Nilson, R. H., Peterson, P. E., Lie, K. H., Burkhard, N. R. & Hearst, J. R. Atmospheric pumping: a mechanism causing vertical transport of contaminated gases through fractured permeable media. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 21933–21948 (1991).
- Carrigan, C. R., Heinle, R. A., Hudson, G. B., Nitao, J. J. & Zucca, J. J. Trace gas emissions on geological faults as indicators of underground nuclear testing. *Nature*, 382, 528–531 (1996).
- Lowrey, J. D., Biegalski, S. R., Osborne, A. G. & Deinert, M. R. Subsurface mass transport affects the radioxenon signatures that are used to identify clandestine nuclear tests. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 111–115 (2013).
- 12. Jordan, A. B. *et al.* Uncertainty in Prediction of Radionuclide Gas Migration from Underground Nuclear Explosions. *Vadose Zone J.* 13 (2014).
- Sudicky, E. A. & Frind., E. O. Contaminant transport in fractured porous media: Analytical solutions for a system of parallel fractures. Water Resour. Res., 18, 1634–1642 (1982).
- Nilson, R. H. & Lie, K. H. Double-porosity modeling of oscillatory gas motion and contaminant transport in a fractured porous medium. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Methods Geomech. 14, 565–585 (1990).
- Neeper, D. A. & Stauffer, P. H. Transport by Oscillatory Flow in Soils with Rate-Limited Mass Transfer: 1. Theory. *Vadose Zone J.*, 11 (2012a).
 Neeper, D. A. & Stauffer, P. H. Transport by Oscillatory Flow in Soils with Rate-Limited Mass Transfer: 2. Field Experiment. *Vadose Zone J.*, 11 (2012b).
- Lowrey, J. D., Osborne, A. G., Biegalski, S. R. & Deinert, M. R. Comparison of Numerically Stable Methods for Implementation of a Double Porosity Model with First-Order Reaction Terms. *Transp. Porous Media* 106, 33–45 (2015).
- 18. Mourzenko, V. V. et al. Barometric pumping of a fractured porous medium, Geophys. Res. Lett. 41 (2014).
- Dresel, P. E. & Waichler, S. R. Evaluation of xenon gas detection as a means for identifying buried transuranic waste at the Radioactive Waste Management Complex, Idaho National Environmental and Engineering Laboratory. *Rep. PNNL-14617*, Pacific Northwest Natl. Lab., Richland, WA (2004).
- Carrigan, C. R. & Sun, Y. Detection of noble gas radionuclides from an underground nuclear explosion during a CTBT on-site inspection. Pure Appl. Geophys. 171, 717–734 (2014).
- Lowrey, J. D., Biegalski, S. R. & Deinert, M. R. UTEX modeling of radioxenon isotopic fractionation resulting from subsurface transport. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 296, 129–134 (2013).
- 22. Dey, T. & Bos, R. Lagrangian methods in the CASH hydrodynamic code. *Rep. LA-14418-MS*. Los Alamos Natl. Lab., Los Alamos, NM (2010).
- 23. Zubelewicz, A. et al. A mechanisms-based model for dynamic behavior and fracture of geomaterials. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 72, 277–282 (2014).
- Viswanathan, H. S., Robinson, B. A., Valocchi, A. J. & Triay, I. R. A reactive transport model of Neptunium migration from the potential repository at Yucca Mountain. J. Hydrol. 209, 251–280 (1998).
- Stauffer, P. H., Birdsell, K. H., Witkowski, M. S. & Hopkins, J. K. Vadose zone transport of 1,1,1-trichloroethane: Conceptual model validation through numerical simulation. *Vadose Zone J.* 4, 760–773 (2005).
- Dempsey, D., Kelkar, S., Davatzes, N., Hickman, S. & Moos, D. Numerical modeling of injection, stress and permeability enhancement during shear stimulation at the Desert Peak Enhanced Geothermal System. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. 78, 190–206 (2015).
- Zyvoloski, G. A., Robinson, B. A., Dash, Z. V. & Trease, L. L. Summary of the models and methods for the FEHM application: A finite element heat and mass-transfer code. *Rep. LA-13307-MS*. Los Alamos Natl. Lab., Los Alamos, NM (1997).
- 28. Morrison, F. A. Transient multiphase multicomponent flow in porous media. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 16, 2331-2342 (1973).
- 29. Tompson, A. F. B. *et al.* On the evaluation of groundwater contamination from underground nuclear tests. *Environ. Geol. (Heidelberg, Ger.)* 42, 235–247 (2002).
- Carrigan, C. R., Heinle, R. A., Hudson, G. B., Nitao, J. J. & Zucca, J. J. Barometric gas transport along faults and its application to nuclear test-ban monitoring. *Rep. UCRL-JC-127585*. Lawrence Livermore Natl. Lab., Livermore, CA (1997).
- 31. Berkowitz, B. Characterizing flow and transport in fractured geological media: A review. Adv. Water Resour. 25, 861-884 (2002).
- Sander, R. Henry's law constants. In: NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69 [Linstrom, P. J. & Mallard, W. G. (eds.)] Available at: http://webbook.nist.gov (Accessed: 1 May 2013).
- 33. Millington, R. J. & Quirk, J. P. Permeability of porous solids. Trans. Faraday Soc. 57, 1200–1207 (1961).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) under award no. DTRA1-11-4539I/ BRCALL08-Per5-I-2-0008. The authors would like to thank Carl Gable of LANL for help with numerical meshes using LaGriT.

Author Contributions

A.J. developed and performed the methods for converting damage results to aperture and permeability for use in the gas flow and transport simulations, performed all gas flow and transport modeling, and was the primary author of the manuscript. P.S. provided support for the gas flow and transport modeling, is a developer of the FEHM code, and contributed substantially to revisions of the paper. E.K. performed the hydrodynamic rock damage modeling. E.R. was a major developer of the rock damage code and contributed written information about its specifics and the results. D.A. is the project lead. All authors discussed the results, reviewed, and contributed to the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Jordan, A. B. *et al.* Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 18383; doi: 10.1038/srep18383 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Amy B. Jordan Neptune and Company, 1505 15th St. Suite B, Los Alamos NM 87544 Email: ajordan@neptuneinc.org / Phone: 303-775-3835 (cell); 505-662-0707 X25 (office)

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND	 Ph.D. Hydrology, September 2015; New Mexico Tech. Advisor: Prof. Mark Person, Dept. of Earth and Environmental Science Dissertation: <i>Multiphase, Multicomponent Flow and Transport Models for</i> <i>Nuclear Test-Ban Treaty Monitoring and Nuclear Waste Disposal</i> <i>Applications</i> Graduate coursework in groundwater and vadose zone hydrology, hydrogeochemistry, surface water, numerical modeling, hydrological theory and field methods, ecohydrology, stable isotope geochemistry Committee: Prof. Fred Phillips (Emeritus) and Prof. John Wilson (Emeritus) (NMT); Dr. Philip Stauffer (LANL); Dr. Charles Carrigan (LLNL) 					
	M.S. Astrophysics, August 2005; University of Colorado, Boulder.					
	B.S. Astrophysics, Geophysics Minor, May 2003; Univ. California, Berkeley.					
AWARDS	 LANL Distinguished Student Performance award, 2014 Student Symposium technical talk award, July 2013 Outstanding TA award, NMT Earth & Environmental Sciences Dept., 2013 Klumpke-Roberts Award for Undergraduate Astrophysics Research, UC Berkeley, 2003 University of California Regents Scholarship Recipient, 1999–2003 					
PROFESSIONAL & RESEARCH EXPERIENCE	 <u>Hvdrogeologist</u>, Neptune and Company; Los Alamos, NM Oct. 2015 – present Numerical modeling of radionuclide-contaminated sites for assessment of risk to human and ecological receptors, including geochemical and hydrogeological parameter development, model validation by comparison with analytical solutions, and sensitivity/uncertainty analysis. 					
	Graduate Research Assistant, Los Alamos National Laboratory; Los Alamos, NMComputational Earth Science GroupEarth and Environmental Sciences DivisionSept. 2010 – Sept. 2015Numerical modeling of geologic CO2 sequestration for risk assessment, radionuclide gas transport from underground nuclear explosions, and coupled thermal-hydrological-chemical modeling of salt for nuclear waste repository performance; laboratory investigations of heat/mass transport in granular salt. Earth-systems modeling of impacts of changing climate on Arctic river runoff.					
	 Project Scientist, TerranearPMC; Los Alamos, NM June 2009–July 2010 Conduct statistical analyses of chemical and environmental data using <i>R</i>; compare sampling results to NM and EPA quality standards. Collaborate on technical writing and data presentation for LANL reports on monitoring of VOCs in pore gas. Perform waste determinations on groundwater samples. Perform field work in support of LANL's Environmental Programs Directorate. 					

	 Graduate Research Assistant, University of Colorado; Boulder, CO Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Sciences Jan.–Dec. 2004 Theoretical simulations of Saturn's ring dynamics; Markov chain analysis of Saturn F ring. Undergraduate Research Assistant, UC Berkeley; Berkeley, CA Department of Astronomy Dec. 2001–May 2003 Numerical simulations of Kuiper Belt and early-solar system dynamics; member of the Deep Ecliptic Survey, an observational effort to discover and classify Kuiper Belt Objects; participant in telescope observing runs at Kitt Peak National Observatory (AZ) and Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (Chile). Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship, Caltech; Pasadena, CA Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences 				
	Summer 2002 Spectroscopic analysis of ice on Europa's surface; group and solo observing ru at the Mt. Palomar Observatory (CA), Mauna Kea Observatory (HI).				
	Lab Assistant, UC Berkeley Space Sciences Laboratory; Berkeley, CA Apr. 2000–May 2001 Data reduction and archival for the Fast Auroral Snapshot Explorer satellite.				
PUBLICATIONS	<i>Peer-Reviewed:</i> Jordan, A.B. (2016), Review of 'Gas Generation and Migration in Deep Geological Radioactive Waste Repositories,' Edited by R.P. Shaw. <i>Geofluids</i> , accepted.				
	Jordan, A.B.: Stauffer, P.H.; Knight, E.E.; Rougier, E.; & Anderson, D.N. (2015), Radionuclide Gas Transport through Nuclear Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks. <i>Scientific Reports, 5</i> (18383). <i>Journal Impact Factor (IF) at time of</i> <i>publication:</i> 5.6.				
	Jordan, A.B. , Boukhalfa, H., Caporuscio, F.A., Robinson, B.A., & Stauffer, P.H. (2015), Hydrous Mineral Dehydration around Heat-Generating Nuclear Waste in Bedded Salt Formations. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , <i>49</i> (11). <i>IF:</i> 5.5.				
	Jordan, A.B. , Stauffer, P.H., Harp, D., Carey, J.W., & Pawar, R.J. (2015), A response surface model to predict CO ₂ and brine leakage along cemented wellbores. <i>International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control</i> , <i>33</i> , 27-39. <i>IF:</i> 3.8.				
	Jordan, A.B. , Stauffer, P.H., Zyvoloski, G.A., Person, M.A., MacCarthy, J.K., & Anderson, D.N. (2014), Uncertainty in Prediction of Radionuclide Gas Migration from Underground Nuclear Explosions. <i>Vadose Zone Journal</i> , <i>13</i> (10) (Journal issue cover article). <i>IF</i> : 2.4.				
	Middleton, R.S., G.N. Keating, P.H. Stauffer, <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , H. Viswanathan, Q. Kang, J.W. Carey, M. Mulkey, J.E. Sullivan, S. Chu, R. Esposito, and T. Meckel (2012), The Cross-scale Science of CO ₂ Capture and Storage: From Pore Scale to Regional Scale, <i>Energy & Environmental Science</i> , <i>5</i> , 7328–7345. <i>IF</i> : 11.7.				

	Elliot, J.L., S.D. Kern, K.B. Clancy, A.A.S. Gulbis, R.L. Millis, M.W. Buie, L.H. Wasserman, E.I. Chiang, <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , D.E. Trilling, and K.J. Meech (2005), The Deep Ecliptic Survey: A Search for Kuiper Belt Objects and Centaurs. II. Dynamical Classification, the Kuiper Belt Plane, and the Core Population, <i>The Astronomical Journal</i> , 129(2), 1117. <i>IF:</i> 5.4.				
	Chiang, E.I.; <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , R.L. Millis, M.W. Buie, L.H. Wasserman, J.L. Elliot, S.D. Kern, D.E. Trilling, K.J. Meech, and R.M. Wagner (2003), Resonance Occupation in the Kuiper Belt: Case Examples of the 5:2 and Trojan Resonances, <i>The Astronomical Journal</i> 126(1), 430. <i>IF:</i> 5.6.				
	Chiang, E.I. and <u>A.B. Jordan</u> (2002), On the Plutinos and Twotinos of the Kuiper Belt, <i>The Astronomical Journal</i> 124(6), 3430. <i>IF:</i> 5.1.				
	<i>Not Peer-Reviewed:</i> Jordan, A.B.; MacCarthy, J. K.; Stauffer, P. H.; Zyvoloski, G. A.; Person, M. A. & Anderson, D. N. (2012), Simulation of radionuclide gas breakthrough from underground nuclear explosions. In: Proc. of the 2012 Monitoring Research Review: Ground Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies. 18-20 September. Albuquerque, NM. Vol. 2. 625–634. <i>IF:</i> N/A.				
CONTRIBUTED PRESENTATIONS (Selected Talks and Postars)	Talk, 15th International Conference on the Chemistry and Migration Behaviour of Actinides and Fission Products in the Geosphere, September 2015: "Modeling Radionuclide Gas Transport Through Explosion-Generated Fracture Networks"				
105(15)	Talk, LANL Subsurface Flow & Transport Brown Bag Seminar Series, June 2015: "Brine and Vapor Migration Experimental Studies in Granular Salt"				
	Talk, New Mexico Tech EES Department Seminar, October 2014: "Simulation of Radionuclide Gas Migration from Underground Nuclear Tests"				
	Talk, LANL-IPGP (Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris) Workshop in Geosciences, July 2014: "Coupled Model for Thermal-Hydrological-Chemical Processes in a High- Level Radioactive Waste Repository in Salt"				
	Talk, Basic Research Technical Review, July 2014: "Isotopic Gas Migration from an Underground Nuclear Weapon Test Through Rock"				
	Poster, 2013 AGU Meeting: "Fluid Transport Driven by Heat-Generating Nuclear Waste in Bedded Salt," <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , D.R. Harp, P.H. Stauffer, J. Ten Cate, Y. Labyed, H. Boukhalfa, Z. Lu, M.A. Person, and B.A. Robinson				
	Poster, 2013 Basic Research Technical Review: "Mathematical Representation of Isotopic Gas Migration from an Underground Nuclear Weapon Test Through Rock," <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , J.K. MacCarthy, P.H. Stauffer, M.A. Person, D.N. Anderson				
	Poster, 2012 Monitoring Research Review: "Simulation of Radionuclide Gas Breakthrough from Underground Nuclear Explosions," <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , J.K. MacCarthy, P.H. Stauffer, G.A. Zyvoloski, M.A. Person, D.N. Anderson				

	Talk, LANL Subsurface Flow & Transport Brown Bag Seminar Series, July 2012: "Simulation of Gas Breakthrough from Underground Nuclear Explosions"		
	Poster, 2012 Carbon Capture, Utilization, & Sequestration Conference: "A Method for Predicting Carbon Dioxide and Brine Leakage through Wellbores at Geologic Carbon Sequestration Sites," <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , J.W. Carey, P.H. Stauffer, R.J. Pawar		
	Poster, 2011 AGU Meeting: "Response Surfaces for CO ₂ Leakage from Geologic Storage Along Abandoned Wellbores," <u>A.B. Jordan</u> , J.W. Carey, R.J. Pawar, P.H. Stauffer		
	Poster, 2002 Division of Planetary Sciences Meeting: "On the Plutinos and Twotinos of the Kuiper Belt," <u>A.B. Jordan</u> and E.I. Chiang		
RESEARCH PROPOSALS	<u>Underground Nuclear Explosion Signatures Experiment</u> , NA-22, January 2015. Integrating Rock Damage with Radionuclide Transport Models: Subsurface Permeability Modeling. Contributor. Funded at \$635,000 over 4 years.		
	<u>Used Fuel Disposition</u> , Department of Energy, September 2014. Draft Test Plan for Brine Migration Experimental Studies in Run-of-Mine Salt Backfill. Author. Funded at \$250,000 for 1 year.		
TEACHING EXPERIENCE	Instructor, New Mexico Tech; Socorro, NM Spring 2015, taught HYD 508: <i>Flow and Transport in Hydrologic Systems</i>		
	Teaching Assistant, New Mexico Tech; Socorro, NM Fall 2012, TA for HYD 510: <i>Quantitative Methods in Hydrology</i>		
	Adjunct Instructor, College of Santa Fe; Santa Fe, NM Mar.–May 2010, undergraduate evening Astronomy class		
	Teacher, Santa Fe Preparatory School; Santa Fe, NM Aug. 2007–June 2009; courses taught: Chemistry, Honors Chemistry		
	Teacher, Desert Academy; Santa Fe, NM Aug. 2006–May 2007; courses taught: Chemistry, Physics/Honors, Precalculus		
	Teacher, Evergreen High School; Evergreen, CO Aug. 2005–May 2006; Physics		
	Teaching Assistant, University of Colorado; Boulder, CO Spring 2005, TA for <i>Introduction to Astronomy</i>		